

## **APPENDIX 28**

### Opposition Communications

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN



We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
	4 BETH CT	
LEONARD D. H.	D. H. H. 4/20/21	



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Clara I. Traina 481 West Saddle River Rd. 

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan, and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns:

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Kathleen Yeaw - 484 Van Buren St  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450 Kathleen Yeaw

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (I) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (II) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



Olivia Sakakihara - 172 W Glen Ave, Ridgewood NJ 9/6/2023



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (I) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (II) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

**NORRA SCHELL**

Name Address Signature : 520 WEST SADDLE RIVER RD., RIDGEWOOD, NJ





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Chris Given 265 Mountain Ave. Chris Given



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ROBERT SAPORITO 668 W. SADDLE RIVER  
Hickory





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Peggy & Rex Ma 600 W Saddle River Rd 



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Adrian Gomez Perez 1416 Terhune rd  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

AnaLis Dalamas Morales

1646 Terhune Rd  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



Melissa Glynn  
558 Nagle Street  
Ridgewood, NJ



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns:

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled: Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents: Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic Patterns that will Impact Safety: Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation: Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact: A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new public flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District: A 2019 independent archaeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand: A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and Itemized Budget for new plans: Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood in a public forum for discussion and debate for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sally Luong

117 Howard Rd Ridgewood NJ

Sally Luong



# PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns:

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 60 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Michael Wang

667 Hanover Rd. Ridgewood NJ 07065

[Signature]



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to require the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns:

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled: Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project) utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents: Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a full field) and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that affect road safety: Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation: Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-heron) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flood Risk Impact: A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, updated flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District: A 2018 independent archaeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand: A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of team players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans: Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood in a public forum for discussion and debate, for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

WILLIAM LIANG 667 HAWARD RD  
RIDGEWOOD, NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental impact. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sam Miller 667 Howard Rd. Ridgewood, NJ.





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

**Ankit Dharia. 471 W Saddle River rd, Ridgewood, NJ**





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Euk Kwan

Euk Kwan 27 Theyken Place  
EUN KW ON



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Weindy Lipker 481 E Saddle River Rd Ridgewood  




PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

Signature

YoonHee Kim

451 Hunter Rd. Ridgewood

NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kum-Joo Park

305 S. Van Dien Ave.  
Ridgewood NJ 07450

Kum-Joo Park



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Andy Oh      32 Willow Ct. Ridgewood      



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Hne Wallace	947 East Glen Ave	

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ara Ajemian 655 Terhune Rd, Ridgewood NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Adriana Blauvelt 28 Leroy Place /RIDGEWOOD





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ANNA KIPINIAK

705 HOWARD ROAD

21 DEERWOOD NJ 07450

*Anna Kipin*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

Signature

WALERIAN KIPINIAK

700 HOWARD ROAD

RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
ARON AND NATALIE GREENBERG	570 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgewood, NJ 07450	



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Jill Kaye

821 Arrow Lane

Jill Kaye

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

AMS A BHATTY

786 ARROW LANE





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Nora Coffe

947 E Glen Avenue  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOHN GRINCH 731 UPPER BLVD

 Feb 22 2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SALLY GRIVCH 731 Upper Blvd Sally Grivch  
Feb. 22 2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SUSAN NASHIEL, 275 So Arwing Ct. Ridgewood  
Susan Nashiel 7807450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Rubens Mulla, 273 Mulberry Pl, *R Mulla*

---

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Sarah Han	235 Boyert Ave. Ridgewood	



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Yeon Seon Jung

625 Robert St, Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Eun Young

332 Schafferson St





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

April Kim

453 Stevens Ave.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
MIN Kwon	667 Etlington rd Ridgewood	



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Kyoung A Park	480 Grove St.	

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sooky Kwon

412 Hunter Rd





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Jayson Kim

495 Dorchester Rd





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Chae-yong Kim

635 Bartell Pl. Ridgewood





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Bohyeon yun      612 Eastbrook      

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Hoonil Shin

378 Stevens Ave





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Tae Kim

427 Dorchester Rd



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Haekyoung

125 S. Maple Ave





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MARY LOU HANDY 695 Kingsbridge



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

BRENDRA KUMAR

655 Kenwood Blvd, Ridgewood

B. Kumar

10/18/23



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Maya Kumar 656 Kenwood Rd Maya Kumar  
MAYRA KUMAR (201) 447-5264

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Joe Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Elena Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Valentina Muzicico 527 West Saddle River Rd

*Valentina Muzicico*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Elena Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Ruth W. Collier

947 E. Glen Avenue  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

Ruth W. Collier



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

589 W Saddle River Rd  
Hoboken

Signature

Maria Wyman





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kathryn Kennedy

684 W Saddle River Pk.

K. Kennedy



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

589 W Saddle River

Signature

Hoboken NJ

Filippo Rossignolo



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Jessica Furnari 531 Racetrack Road  
HOKUS, NJ  
07423





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Andrew Gasparatos 568 Racetrack Rd. Ridgewood Andrew Gasparatos



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

GASPARATO 568 RACETRACK RD.  
RIDGEWOOD, NJ  
07450

*[Handwritten Signature]*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Gabrielle H Brieger

Gabrielle H Brieger 491 Racetrack Rd  
Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ

07423

gabriellebrieger@gmail.com



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

STARON MILIAN

33 VALLEY LANE  
USF, NJ 07458





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOANNE M. PROVOST  3/7/23  
34 BROOKME ROAD  
KINNELON NJ 07405

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

William S Malley 1650 W. Sachile River Rd W.S. Malley  
Ho Ho Kus



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

William Chan WILLIAM CHAN 36 CHELSEA PLACE RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Patricia Dolce 625 Kingsbridge Lane  
Ridgewood, NJ Patricia Dolce



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Jen Merowski 655 Kingsbridge JenM

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Andres McVey 615 Keenwood Rd, Ridgewood NJ 



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Daniel McHenry 615 Kenwood Rd.  
Ridgewood, NJ. [Signature]

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Marizela McNamara, 615 Kenwood Rd.  
Ridgewood, NJ 07411





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Jason Boyle      106 N. Pleasant Ave      Jason Boyle

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Cliff Matthews

625 Kenwood Rd

Clifford Matthews



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Marcia Matthews 625 Kenwood Rd M Matthews

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Nancy Nowinski

2 Betty Ct.





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Charlie Nowinski

2 Betty Ct, Ridgewood



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Patricia Infantino & Betty C. Patricia Infantino



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Betty Lew

409 Queens Ct  
Ridgewood NJ.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

James Molena

409 Queens Ct

James Molena



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Tara Maurizi

119 Somerville Rd.

#1 Ridgewood, NJ

07450

Tara E Maurizi

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Craig Maurizi 18 Somerville Rd  
Ridgeport 10





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Johanne Rosen

237 S. Pleasant Ave





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Carla Bracco Chioy      500 Stearns Ave      Carla Bracco Chioy



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Michael Pison 237 Rte. 17N  
Ridgewood NJ



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Rubens Mulla, 273 Mulberry Pl, *R Mulla*

---



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SALLY GRIVCH 731 Upper Blvd Sally Grivch  
Feb. 22 2023

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOHN GRINCH 731 UPPER BLVD



FEB 22 2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature



656 Kings Bridge  
Lwp



BRIAN ALTANO

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joann Loyka 685 Kingsbridge Lane Joann Loyka



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Yanai Abdallah

369 Queens Ct



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Hasan Abdallah

369



Queens  
Ct



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Dylan Ferguson 655 Kingsbridge



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sonia Arun 339 Queens ct





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Bernard Khoury

339 Queens Ct, Ridgewood NY





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

James Ruane      705 Kingsbridge Lane      James Ruane



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

John Mero wski 655 Kwasbridge





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Evelyn Merousin 655 KINGSBRIDGE E. Merousin



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Susan Rume

705 Kingsbridge





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Seongho Wu

675 Kingsbridge Ln





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for N.J. endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Ruben Centeno 379 Queen Ct.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joan Schafel, 365 Queens Ct.





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joshua Sirvino

359 Queens Court



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kamila Castro      354 queens ct      Kamila Castro



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Vincenta Miglionelli 348 Queens Ct  
Ridgewood NY

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sandra Bartlett 338 Quers Ct





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Philip C. Dake

625 Kingsbridge Lane

Phil C. Dake

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Soyeon Hong

615 Kingsbridge Lane





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

A DITYA SINGH



182 MOUNTAIN AVE

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MARIZELA

McNERNEY

615

Kenwood

Marizela Mcnerney



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Alyssa Matthews 941 N Monroe St



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

LEO RUANE 705 Kingsbridge Ln 



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Rosemary Sullivan 625 Wyndemere Ave. 07450

*Rosemary Sullivan*

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

MARGAUX HOWARD

218 N. Maple Ave.  
Ridgewood, NJ





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lee Mishler 5 Betty Ct. Zee Mishler

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

Signature

MARGAUX HUNTER

218 N. Maple Ave.

Ridgewood NJ





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Eleanore C. STANUS HOWARD	218 North Maple Ave Ridgewood	 2/24/23

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) **a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and**
- (ii) **a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.**

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Justin McCall

219 N Maple Ave  
Ridgewood, NJ 07430





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 Independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Marcia Ringel 250 Ferris Pl., Ridgewood, NJ Marcia Ringel



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Andrea Mishler 5 Betty Ct Andrea L Mishler



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MARK J. ISIK

408 QUEENS COURT, RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Norka M. Schell - 520 West Saddle River Road, Ridgewood, NJ 07450

---



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

STEPHAN LOYKA 685 KINGSBRIDGE  
LANE



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Hyunhee Kim

675 Kingsbridge Ln





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Teresa Isik

408 Queens Ct.

Teresa Isik

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Allison Chostaka 399 Queens Ct

Allison Chostaka



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Lauren E. Lillo 375 Queens Ct Ridgewood NJ 07450  
Lauren E. Lillo  
Lauren E. Lillo

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for N.J. endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kathy A. Bowen 45 Kingsbridge Lane Kathy Bowen



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Trick Bourn      845 Kings Bridge      [Signature]



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Maria Bunn 349 Queens Ct Ridgewood, NJ Mary Bunn



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MARK MILIAN

530 W SADDLE RIVER RD



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kristina Milian

530 W. Saddle River Rd

Kristina Milian



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Michelle Stulia 3 Betty Court Michelle Stulia

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Alan Dlugash 3 Berry Ct.   
ALAN DLUGASH



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Leonid Yagudayev  
32 Chelsea PL



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Cynthia O'Keefe

542 W. Saddle  
River Road

Cynthia O'Keefe



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

David P. Ward 542 W. Saddle River David P. Ward  
David P. Ward

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Samuel Sin

704 Harold Road



07456



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Suratsawadee Siu	704 Howard Rd Ridgewood, NJ 07450	



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Ellen McNamara 120 W Ridgewood Ave. 



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

  
Seema Dutt

532 West Saddle River Road





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Megan Duth

532 W saddle river road





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Anir Dutt

532 W Saddh Run Rd

Anir Dutt

ANIR DUTT



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Evanka Dutt

532 W Saddle River Rd

Evanka Dutt



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Gabriella de Silva 521 W Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood, NJ *G. de Silva*

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ROHAN DE SILVA 521 W. SADDLE RIVER RD  
Ridgewood NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Rohan de Silva II 521, West Saddle River rd. Rohan de Silva

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Frattra M. deSilva      521 W Saddle River Rd Ridgewood, NJ      *Frattra M. deSilva*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Elizka de Silva

521 W. Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood NJ, 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature



MARYSE KARUNARATNE, 521 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgewood NJ  
07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Donald Henke

524 W. Saddle  
River Rd

Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

J. Henke

Address

524W Saddle  
River Rd  
Ridgewood

Signature





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Danya H. Glazer 61 Clinton Ave



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

May Wang

690 spring ave  
Ridgewood NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Yu Wang

690 spring avenue  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Anne Loving 342 S. Irving St Anne L. Loving  
Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kate Gordon

251 Gateway Rd

Kate Gordon

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

DREWANN RODNEY 125 S Maple Ave Apt F  
Ridgewood

Drewann Rodney



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kathryn Komga-Schmidt 123 South Irving Street Kathryn Komga-Schmidt  
Ridgewood, N.J.  
07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

FATMIR FANDA

116 WOODSIDE AVE, RIDGEWOOD





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joseph Schmidt      123 S. Irving St., Ridgewood

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Deborah R. Alker

61 Clinton Ave Ridgewood NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Mark Snyder

328 S Irving Street



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Diana Saper

3285 Irwin Street





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Naomi Freshwater 200 Walton St. Naomi Innuat  
Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kathleen Killian 315 Willow Ct. Kathleen Killian



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

KEITH KILLION 315 WILLOW CT RIDGEWOOD NJ Keith Killion

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ELIZBIETA JASTRZEBSKA

Elwien Jastrzebska

215 WALTON ST,



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

CHRIS BLANK I 215 WALK ST  
PERFECT RIDGEWOOD  
NJ 07416





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Brian Kwon 528 W. Saddle River Rd  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Matthew Weise 5064 Tongate Rd Pipersville PA 



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Kelly Hopkin Jones 130 Oak Green Circle Fort Worth TX  
Kelly Hopkin Jones



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Syndyse Abredina / 116 Woodcock Ave / Syndyse Abredina  
Panda



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

JACQUELINE HONE

30 Carriage Lane





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Nancy Brennum-Hill 136 Doremus Ave Nancy Brennum-Hill

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Caroline Scielzo

354 Grandview Circle

Caroline Scielzo



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

BRANDYNE LACKLAND

30 Carriage Lane



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Young Jinkim

278 Claremont Rd.

Young Jinkim



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Soon Im Kim

278 Claremont Rd,



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.



Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name STEVE KIM Address

Signature

 291 HIGHLAND AVE 



to you.

**EMAIL:** Fill and sign PDF using an e-signature or send a picture of your signed petition from your cell phone. Return the completed petition to [schedlerfriends@gmail.com](mailto:schedlerfriends@gmail.com)

#### **FOR MORE INFORMATION**

EMAIL [schedlerfriends@gmail.com](mailto:schedlerfriends@gmail.com)

CALL or TEXT 201-377-8556

#### **IMPORTANT UPDATES**

Sign up sending an EMAIL to [schedlerfriends@gmail.com](mailto:schedlerfriends@gmail.com)

#### **PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD**

#### **REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including

detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/

players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.

- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address

Signature

*Stacia Busell*  
611 Robert St,  
Ridgewood NJ 07450

**I love Wing Bikes. Use my referral link to get a discount now!** <http://wingbikes.refr.cc/jamesfoytlin?t=tw>

 LIKE AND FOLLOW

 FOLLOW ON TWITTER

 VISIT OUR PAGE

Copyright © 2023 the Ridgewood blog, All rights reserved.

Welcome to the Ridgewood blog wire service from time to time we email you important updates about the Village of Ridgewood. thank you PJ Blogger

Our mailing address is:

the Ridgewood blog  
PO Box 227  
Ridgewood, NJ 07451

[Add us to your address book](#)



and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.

- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

George H. Ackerman  
George H. Ackerman

344 Jefferson St.

Ridgewood N.Y. 11450

(8)

Note: • There should be NO CHANGES TO  
EXISTING PLAN.

- No more MONEY AND TIME WASTED.
- WISH PETITION HAD STATED  
THE ABOVE.

George H. Hedden

2/21/23

201-444-6370



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Douglas Kislay 725 Howard Rd 

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

John + Irene Rojas

650 Kenwood Rd  
Ridgewood NJ

Irene Rojas



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Niki V. Diamond, 2344 Perot Street, Philadelphia, PA 19130



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Nicole Nolan 987 Jones St Berkeley CA 94710





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

GLEN T. RYEN, 655 KENWOOD RD, RIDGEWOOD, NJ 07450 *GRY*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Alaina Laszewski

22101 93rd Pl W  
Edmonds, WA 98020



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOHN MILIAN 33 VALLEY LN, H. SADDLE RIVER, NJ 07458





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Lisa Suriano

636 Kenwood Rd  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

NASH NASHAD 520 BARRINGTON RD





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Dorothy Barthold

323 Stevens Ave,  
Ridgewood, NJ  
07450





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and  
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Robert Weise      21 WINDOVER LANE DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901  
ROBERT WEISE



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and  
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Barbara J. Weise, 21 Windsor Lane, Doylestown, PA 18901  
Barbara J. Weise



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joseph DeMarco 572 W. Saddle River Rd





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Lori DeMarco

Lori DeMarco 572 W. Saddle River Rd. Lori DeMarco



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

540 Bennington

Signature

GIOVANNI REGINA

Terrace



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Cristina Cobos-DeCruz 735 Howard Rd. 



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

Signature

LESUE SIEGEL

35 Chelsea Place

ANDREW SIEGEL





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Matthew L. Rossi, 516 W Saddle River Rd, Matthew L. Rossi



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Olga Rossi 516 W. Saddle River Rd Olga Rossi



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Susan M. Orlando

2-27-23

88 Glenwood Rd

Ridgewood, NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



91 CHERRY LANE

DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jimma Weiss

91 CHERRY LANE  
Doylestown, PA 18901



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



105 Blossom Hill Lane  
Chalfont, PA 18914

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



105 Blossom Hill Lane  
Clifton PA 18944



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

WILLIAM F. LEAHY 250 - S. VAN DIEN AVE. RIDGEWOOD, N.J. 07450  
William F. Leahy

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

ANN M. LEAHY 2505. VANDIEN AVE RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450  
*Ann M Leahy*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

  
207 Gateway Rd  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

John McDonald

207 Gateway Rd  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children; Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

 Robert A. Briedis

890 East Glen Ave., Ridgewood, NJ 07450

2/23/2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name


Address

Signature

Joseph Luddy

527 West Saddle River Rd

Elena Luddy





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

ROBERT GRINCH 221 KEMAH



02 22 23

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lynn Coromilas

Lynn Coromilas  
395 Manchester Road  
Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

James Coromiles  
395 Manchester Road, Ridgewood 07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

  
Peter Enmer 491 W. Saddle River Rd.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



Ildiko Enner 491 W. Saddle River Rd

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

MARTIN GRUBER

229 S. TAYLOR





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ELEANOR GRUBER 229 E. IRVING



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Virendra Sheth	501 W Saddle River Road Ridgewood	



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

VAIDEHI VYAS

	<b>Vaidehi Vyas</b> 501 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgewood, NJ 07450
---	---



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Claudia Allocco 724 Howard Rd. Ridgewood Claudia Allocco



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Suphi Cohen

388 Queens Ct.  
Queens Ct.

Suphi Cohen



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies
- Health implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village

Name Address Signature

JAYESH JESALPURA, 30 RED ROCK CT., RIDGEWOOD, NJ



3/1/2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new public flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

ILA JESALPURA, 30 RED ROCK CT, RIDGEWOOD, NJ

*Ilajay*

3/1/2023



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

James A. Bombace, 686 Midwood Road Ridgewood, NJ 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



Yasmine Elashmawy 640 Kenwood Road Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Joseph Calaceto 645 Terhune Rd Ridgewood NJ

*Joseph Calaceto*



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lavinia Calaceto 645 Terhube Rd Ridgewood NJ

*Lavinia Calaceto*



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Robert Calaceto 645 Terhune Rd Ridgewood NJ

*Robert Calaceto*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.


Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

LINDA A. Ferraro 657 Howard Rd., Ridgewood



3/1/2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Linda & Mike Ferraro 657 Howard Rd, Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

LINDA L. MARTINEK 548 ROUTE 17 N. JAMES J. MARTINEK  
AKA 512 W. 5 ADDIE RIVER RD.  
RIDGEWOOD, N.J. 07450

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Gladys

116 Carriage Lane

Gladys DeCesare

DeCesare



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

D. F. AMY

22 CARRIAGE LN



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

24

Signature

Lauren Salvani Carriage





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

THOMAS LEE 36 CANNONSTONE DRIVE  
THOMAS LEE

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Anita  
Lee

56 Carriage Ln

Anita Lee



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Christy Hinkle 107450

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Pradeep Balasubramanian

626 Kenwood Rd  
Ridgewood NJ





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sanguetha Pradeep

626 Kenwood rd  
Ridgewood, NJ



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Parvina Shah 635 Kenwood Rd Parvina Shah



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN


We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name	Address	Signature
Victoria Martinek	512 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgewood, NJ 07450	

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Vincent H. Mart'cek Jr.

512 W. Saddle River Rd.  
Ridgewood, NJ, 07450

Vincent H. Mart'cek Jr.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

 Jennifer & Jonathan Sherman  
560 W. Saddle River Road  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

**Mukti Dharia, 471 W Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood, NJ**

*Mukti Dharia*



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

**Pradip Dharia, 471 W Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood, NJ** *Pradip Dharia*

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Eric Friedman 526 W. Saddle River Rd





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Nancy Friedman 526 W. Saddle River Rd. 

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

530 Bunnigton Terr Sun Mi Gloria Kye  
Ridgewood, NJ  
Pablo Kye



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Undleeb Mahmood Undleeb Mahmood  
520 Bennington Terrace  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

5/1/23

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Zhi'hong Wang

525 Bennington Ter.  
Ridgewood NJ 07090



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Yong Shi

525 Bennington Ter.  
Ridgewood NJ 07450

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Alissa O'H-Walsh



539 Bennington Ter  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ken Fine 560 Pennington Terrace Ridgewood NJ 07450  
Ken Fine

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Penny Fine 560 Pennington Terr. Ridgewood N.J. 07450  
Penny Fine



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Maida Gutkin

5/1/2023

580 Benning Terrace, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

P. Markarian #V Brook St Paul Markarian



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Gary Lowenthal 463 Wastewater, Gary Chmel



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Laura Katz

446 Upper Blvd.  
Ridgewood





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**

- (i) **a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and**
- (ii) **a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.**

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Stephen Katz 446 Upper Blvd. Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Linda Tanzi 411A TANZIAN 576 Highland Ave.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Victoria Marticek 512 W. Saddle River Rd.   
Ridgewood, NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Vincent H. Mart'cek Jr. 512 W. Saddle River Rd.  
Ridgewood, NJ, 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



580 Bennington Terrace, Ridgewood, NJ 07450

5/1/2023

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Lauren Lynch

150 Snakeedenrd, Ridgewood, NJ  
07456

Lauren Lynch



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Eugene I. Karet 645 N. Main Rd

E. Karet

ETKboxer@me@

Ridgewood NJ

074565306

qmx.com

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

  
VERENA PIPARO

28 LeRoy PL  
1st FL UNIT



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

  
JOSEPH FIPERO

28 LeROY PLACE  
RIDGEWOOD  
1ST FL

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



Diane Paventa

11 Van Saun Pl

Fair Lawn, NJ 07410



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jose Calderón / 239 S. Broad St. / Jose Calderon  
Ridgewood

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lisa Glidewell Lisa C. Glidewell  
222 S. Broad St.  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Betty Thompson  
Betty Thompson

2225 Broad St  
Ridgewood

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Myriam Feeretti, 11 Dansfield Ct. Myriam Feeretti  
Upper Saddle River  
N.J. 07458



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

HENRY THAYER 209 S BROAD ST, RIDGEWOOD



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

NIKOLAS BLAUVELT

28 LeRoy Pl. Nikolai Blauvelt  
Ridgewood, N.J.  
2ND FL UNIT



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Deedee Burnside 3 Cobak Ct

Deedee Burnside

Albion  
07481

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

Hamzeh Abdallah

369 Queens Ct, Ridgewood NY 07450





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

MARINA GERSEN

385 Queens Ct  
Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Yelda Coban

388 Queens Ct.





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.


- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MONICA Cardoza 121 Sunset Ave 



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Livette Ramos 351 Gilbert St.

Livette Ramos



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Penny Fine 560 Pennington Terr. Ridgewood N.J. 07450

Penny Fine

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ken Fine 560 Bennington Terrace Ridgewood NY 07450  
Ken Fine



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jake Gutkin 580 Bennington Terrace, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450

5/1/2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

5/1/23 Mike Zuckerman 550 Bennington Terrace, Ridgewood  
M Zuckerman



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

**We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:**


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Alissa Ott-Walsh  


539 Bennington Ter  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Debra A. Zuckerman  
550 Bennington Terr.  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450  
5/1/23



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Zhi'hong Wang

525 Bennington Ter.  
Ridgewood NJ 07090



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Yong Shi 525 Bennington Ter.  
Ridgewood NJ 07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Undteeb Mahmood Undteeb Mahmood  
520 Bennington Terrace  
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

5/1/23



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

530 Bannington Terr Sunil & Coria Kye

Pablo Kye



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

530 Burnington Terr Sunithi & Cora Kye

Art  
Pablo Kye

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Maida Gutkin

580 Bennington Terrace, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450

5/1/2023



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Mary Robins

368 Queens Ct

Mary Robins

MARY CATHEEN ROBINS

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

John Robbins

368 Queens Court; Ridgewood, NJ 07450





**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Paul Shin

615 Kingsbridge Lane



Paul Shin

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Gillian Foley 432 Sterling Place, Ridgewood



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SAURASH DANI 390 REDGARD RD, RIDGEWOOD NJ, 07430 *James D. Dan*

**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:


- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature



---

Hayley Weber  
235 South Irving Street  
Ridgewood, NJ  
07450



**PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN**

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Michael Portanova 843 East Saddle River Road, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Natalia Nehring, M.D. 174 Union St Apt 2A

Nehring



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Michael Khoury 635 Kingsbridge Ln Ridgewood NJ 

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.


Name

Address

Signature

RINKO NG

626 KINGSBRIDGE LN





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Michael Khoury

635 Kingsbridge Ln Ridgewood NJ



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Paul Shin  
Paul Shin

615 Kingsbridge Lane  
Ridgewood, NJ





PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.


These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Goewun Lee 329 Queens Ct.



PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD  
REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Sook  
moon KONG

358 queens ct

