We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- <u>Wildlife and environmental preservation</u>. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name
Address
Signature

Live UK

DIH 32

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Clara I. Traina 481 West SaldleR. res Rd. Jungfun

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a start
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedier is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

491 Van Barren St Ridgewood, Moorts

Name Address Signature

AN LESS OF WE

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedier property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Aderass Structure

Olivia Sakakihara - 172 W Glen Ave, Ridgewood NJ 9/6/2023

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the
 Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and
 recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground
 disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature: 520 UMEST SADDLE RIVER RD., RIDGEWOOD, NJ

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

ed by Resolution 18-236) without.

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New

Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known healt impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Chris Given 265 Mountain Ave. Chris Stren

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (iii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature,

ROBERT SAPORITO 668 W. SAPPLE FIVER

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature | on the vinage. |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Reggy & Rex Ma | 600 W Saddle River Rd | Dezi | av |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

BALLZ PELEZ loyle Teihune rd Ridgewood NS 07450

Name Address Signature

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Maralie Dalamas Morales 16410 Ter.

Didgence

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Mylynn

Melissa Glynn 558 Nagle Street Ridgewood, NJ

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-238) without:

- (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
- (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will sphore the following concerns

- <u>Due Process to which Revients of Redewood are Emiting.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- <u>Health Implications to Charten and Residents</u>: Changes to the plan (Including the introduction of a
 furtifield and changes to the existing natural and muture tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on chiddre. Toxic poliutions from treffic, as well as no seperation, will further harm
 park visitions and resident.
- Treffic patients that well impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and busies. The prior traffic shipty was conducted in 2016 without considering busies. As Schedier is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Rouse 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of busies.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schodler (united many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald English and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vogetation. A full assosament of the environmental impact is importative.
- <u>Accounte Assessment of Floating Project</u>: A change in the approved plan expanding the field stop should only be made as a result of new public. Rood shown and an essessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village projectly expensionent turing also consideration Golunty and State remediation discussions) to address flooding contents.
- <u>Preservation of History Dystoct</u>: A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War entitleds and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if algolificant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and ourset field use) versus the previously agreed 78 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Chear end transserent cudget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including draign and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override outsid input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and it detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general weifare of the Visage.

Name

Addres

Signatur

Sally ! KNY

FIT HOWARD Rd Flyword No whally free

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, patition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(iii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes wehout a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Properts to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entited. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter expents and independent studies.
- Health Invisations to Chadren and Residents: Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turn Resident changes to the existing natural and mature tree burnler) do not consider the known health impact on chadrer. Texts pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm pack visitors and residents.
- * Traffic Patterns II at which patron Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and busics. The prior traffic study was conducted at 2015 without considering busins. As Schedier is immediately adjuvent to an exit from Reute 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of paint visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of busics.
- Whattle and environmental preservation. Schedier (unlike marry current fields) is the fraging prounds for NJ endangerod species (Baid Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Harons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 intend only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an unresument of an effective
 attrategy (based on a full Valage property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by
 the Vittage found that the Historic Schedus property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and
 recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground
 disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed exprenation of the need for a full-size field in common of the many players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 65 yard held is required before any changes should be considered.
- Gwar and transparent pudget for new plant. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 County must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never business public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Morth Warry 661 (bread Ad Adgraved A) Algraved A)

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reoper the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(iii) a fact-based a ralys is of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes were used a full at some mont will sprove the following carricorns

- One Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), unknown applicable subject-matter
 expects and experiment studies.
- Meach implications to Couldren and Residents. Changes to the plan finducing the introduction of a fact field and changes to the electing natural and instrum tree barriers do not consider the known health import on theree. Texts popularly from traffic as well as noise poliution, will hardwire harm peer we took and resident.
- Inefficient between the conset safety. Exemption of the field even will introduce increased traffic
 and busin. The proof traffic stody was conducted in 2015 without considering tasses. As Schedler is
 ammediately adjacent to an evel from Boyle 17th, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of purk visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of busin.
- WHOME Briststensomerchi preservation. Schodler (unake many numerit fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ and angested species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Nightriesces) and transes protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Established States of the States of the States of the Approximation approximation of the best size should be states of the residence of the states of the sta
- Possessation of flat one Water! A 2018 independent archeological analysisment commissioned by the Wage found that the Hoter o architect property skely houses. Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed diagnoscent ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of furnishingers, by ago and current field use) versus the proviously agreed 75 visit yard field is required before any changes should be obtained.
- Strattered transparent taxistation new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an inemized budget including design and mantenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public nor time public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact bases can should be presented to the sessions of Hidgowood in a recitio force for discussion and debate; for the general wolfare of the Village.

122710

Address

Signature

MILLIAM LIANG 667 HOWARD RD

whime

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (88)

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Vitage residents and consistent with other Village decisions (tike the current Gold Star Memorial project), usitzing applicable subject-matter
- bleath implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turl field and changes to the existing natural and meture tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic poliutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedier is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
- Wildlife and environmental processions. Schedier (univermany current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Baid Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Astersament of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic Detrict. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schodler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground
- Accurate Assessment of Field Domand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| blame | A Manifellati | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|--|
| Marrie Address | 1 | Signature | |
| A Comment of the Comm | | | |

63 Howard Ed. Edgewed NIT

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ankit Dharia. 471 W Saddle River rd, Ridgewood, NJ

(AP Drana)

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the

residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village. Name Address Signature EUK KWON 27 Theykon Place EUN KWON

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Weindy Lipker 481 E Saddle River Rd Ridge wood

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Your Hee Kim | 451 Hunter Rd. Lidgewood | gula |
| 100 | NO 07450 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Kum Jan Park

305 S. Van Dien Ave. Ku-Puk Ridgeward NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

esidents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Villag

Name Address Signature

Andyon

32 willow a. Ridgewood

000

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

the Wallace 947 East Glen Ave

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name Address Signature Ara Ajemian | 655 | Terhune | Rd, | Ridgewood N | j 07450 |
|------------------------------------|-----|---------|-----|-------------|---------|
| | An | 4 | | | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 vard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Adriana Blauvelt 28 Leroy Place /RIDGEWOOD

MHavelt

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project). utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signatur

ANNA RIPINIAK

705 HOWARD ROAD

Cenna Kippin

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm. park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new. public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village. WALERIAN KIPINIALE 700 HOWARD ROAD

RIDGENIND NO 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MATALIE Greenberg

570 W. Saddle River LD. Lidgenood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollulants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Signature

Signature

Signature

Jill Vary 821 Arrow Lane Junkage

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Pasidents of Ridgeward are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the corrent Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------|-----------|-----------|
| AM5 | A BHATTY | Mis la go |
| | 786 ARROW | LANE |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name
Address
Signature
Signature
Ridgewacd, N'S 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOHN GRINCH 731 UPPER BLUD JA FEB 22 202)

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
 Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SALLY GRIVEY 731 Upper BLUD Sally Grants

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and
 buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

| These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and de | t-based plan should be presented to the abate) for the general welfare of the |
|---|--|
| Village. | |
| Name Address Signature | 10 · 0+ 6/1 |
| JUSAN 11 ashal 275 5 | o Awing lot. 1/1/away |
| Dugar Machel | 1 3/1/01450 |
| Jan 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | MATERIA |
| | () |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Rubens Mulla, 273 Mulberry PI, R Mulla

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Sarah Han i35 bogert Ave. Ridgewood Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Yeon Seon Jung 625 Robert St. Ridgewood Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Eury aung | 332 Jehtterson St | K. |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (i) (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| April Kin | 453 Stevens auc. | 12 pms |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | | Rugound Ne | | |
|------|------|---------------|---|------------|----|--|
| MIN | Kwon | 667 Ethington | M | Rugowal | ne | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| Kyoung A Para | E 480- Grove St. | TON |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Sooky Kwan

Address

472 Hunterld

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joschester

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | A | ddress | | | Signature | n. | u D' | |
|----------|----|--------|---------|-----|-------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Chargons | Km | 635 | Bartell | pl. | Signature Riolgewood | Cer | The same of the sa | |
| 00 | | | | | 0 | | 0 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------|---------|--------------|
| Bohyeon | VUN | 612 Eastbrok |
| 2011 | / | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | |
| Hoonil Shan | 378 Stevens are | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property. (ii)

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| residents of radgenes | (a) a | 01 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Name | Address | Signature |
| tal Kim | 427 Porchester Rd | Menys |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|----------|------------------|-----------|
| Heekyong | 125 S. Maple Are | Signature |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

MARY LOV HANDY 695 Kingsbridge M&

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature / |
|--------|---------------------|-------------|
| BIREN. | DRAKUMAR. | 13/Kumers |
| | 656 Kenhova Tood, + | Borned 3/23 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| me | Addre | iss. | S | ignature | |
|-----|-------|------|-------------|----------|------|
| May | Human | 656 | Ken wood Kd | Nage | kiny |
| | KUMAL | 2 | (201)447- | - 5264 | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Joe Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd

Joe Luddy

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Elena Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd

Clena Luddy

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Valentina Muzicico 527 West Saddle River Rd

Valentina Muzicico

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Elena Luddy 527 West Saddle River Rd

Clena Luddy

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Ruthin Colle 947 E. Glan Availe Buth College Ridgewood IV 57450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Addr

Jana Wyman

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Kethynk | muly 684 WS | dele prier pd. F. Kennedy |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address 589 W Saddle Risignature
Hollokus NJ flere

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

HOGSICA FURMARI S31 RACETRACK ROAD
HOHOKUS, NT

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------|---------|-----------|
| | | |

Andrew Ensperatos 568 Racetrack Rd. Risperson Patrow Presporator

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive laview process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (the the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

BASPARATOS SLOB RACETRACK RD.
RIDGEWOOD, NJ
07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (11) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Mahalle H Brieger 491 Racetrack Rd Ho. Ho. Kus, NJ

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (i)

New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property. (ii)

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

SHARAN MILLAN

USF, NJ 07458



We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

DONALD M. Provost Sun M. P. 3/7/23

34 Brook WAT BOAD

KINNEZON NT 07405.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | A | ddress | Sign | nature | 1 |
|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| William S | Malloy | 650W. Sock | He Kiner Rd | MI | Mally |
| | 9 | HOHOKUS | 5 | | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

William Chan WILLIAM CHAN 36 CHELSEA PLACE RIGHEWOOD NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Add | ress | Signature |
|-------|------|--|---------------|
| D In: | Not. | ress 625 Knigsbridge Lan. Ridge Ward, NJ | e Latour Dola |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | - | | Signature | 0 / |
|------|---------|---|-----|-------------|--------|
| Jen | Merowsk | 1 | 655 | Kings budge | Jehn J |
| | | | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | 44.1 | Address | | Signature | // |
|--------|-------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----|
| Andres | Ullan. | 6151 | ad of Referred | 15 | All |
| THOTES | in the they | V Freque | ar v, ringersees | | / |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Addre | SS | 5 | Signature | 1 |
|---------|-----------|----|---------|-----------|----|
|) uriel | Millitrey | | wad ff. | Int | My |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| | And the second s | and you are general wellare of the village. |
|----------|--|---|
| Name | Address | Signature |
| Murizela | 1 0 11 | onle MI 0741 |
| | 1-08 | 47 001/11 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | Signature |
|------|-------|---------------------|-----------|
| Jean | Boyle | 10. N. Pleasant Acc | Jem Boul |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

(1, FMatthows

625 Kenwood Rd

Clifford Mentes

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Address

Name

| | Marcia Maxxeus | 625 Kenwood Rd | MNauthen |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------|
|--|----------------|----------------|----------|

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (iii)

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Signature

Nancy Nowinki 2 Besty CT.

3 - Dom

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD

REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Charlie Nowinsky | 2 rsetty Ct , Ridgewood | Mhon |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | |
|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Patricia | Infantino | 6 Betty Ct | Patricia | Infentino |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Bety Lew 409 Queens CT Tall Signature

Redge word NJ.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Tomes Molina | 409 Oneens (7 | Janes Moleva |
| | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District.</u> A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address //9 Somewile Rd. Signature | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Tara Maurizi | # Riagros NS (Jara E 7/1) | auries |
| | 07450 | 8 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature Signature |
|---------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Crain p | 1gus isi 1815 somes ville | // \ |
| J | Ridge nort 1 | 7// |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Johanne Rosen 237 S. Pleasant Aug Men Men

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Macco Hay 500 Steam Gre CA90/ RACO Chosey

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature Signature |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 11-1.18 | 237 R.S. Aleas gr) Are | Signature |
| a) chael y son | 01 | |
| | Riderow-od Ko | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Rubens Mulla, 273 Mulberry PI, R Mulla

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- * Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SALLY GRIVEY 731 Upper BLVO Saely Freb. 22 2023

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

731 UPPER BLUD

Feb 22 2023

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

BRIAN ALTANA

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | /) Ad | dress 1/ | | 5 | Signature | |
|-------|--------|---|----------|------|-----------|-------|
| Joann | Leiden | 686 XU | ushridae | Lave | Joann | Loyka |
| 0 | y | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 7 | | | J |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | |
|----------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| Janal ar | dallah | 369 Queens | (+ 'b | |
| | | | 0 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

sen Abdullah

Quen

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Dyan Ferguson 655 Kingsbride Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Sonia Abun 339 Queens of Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Sign | ature / |
|-------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| BernardKhow | 339 | Queens CI. Ridgarod NS | Bea |
| 2 | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

James Ruane 705 Kingsbridge Lane James Ruame

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Jhy MEROUSK | 655 KWASBRIDGE | | neer | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Name | Address | | Signature | |
| residents of Ridgewood (ii | a public forum for discussion and d | ebate) fo | r the general welfa | re of the Village. |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------------------|----------------|------------|
| Evelyn Nerousin' | 655 KNASBRIDGE | E Mourush. |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Susan Rusine | 705 Kingsbridge | SELO |
| |) | 7 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------------|---------|----------------------|
| Seongho Wn | 675 | Cingsbridge Lu Son n |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------------|---------------|--|
| Ruben Centers | 379 Queen Ct. | The same of the sa |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Jame Address Signature
Jame Scholich, 365 QUEEN of.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Joshua Sirvino | 359 Quens Court | 15 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Address

| Name | Addi | ress | Signature | | |
|--------|--------|---------------|-----------|--------|--|
| Kamila | Castro | 354 queens et | Knul | Contre | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ridgewood UT

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Addres | SS | | Signature | Y |
|--------|----------|-----|----------|-----------|------|
| Sandsa | Bartlett | 338 | Queen Ct | gart. | yaxt |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Address

| Ivairie | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-------|-----|----------------|--------|----------|
| DAD- | D | Doley | 175 | Kingsbirdgela | 2 21.1 | C. Dake |
| 1 July | 0 | DUTY | 663 | ningsbriegotal | 111.1 | C. Obice |
| | | | | | | |

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | |
|-------------|---------|------------------|-----------|----|
| Soyeon Hong | 615 | Kingsbridge Lane | 80 | An |
| 0 | | 0 | V | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 Independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

A DITYA SINGH

102 MUUNTAIN AVE

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

MARIZELA Marizela Manay
Menerney
615 Kenwood

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Alyssa Matthews 941 N Monroest Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| LEO RUANE | 705 Knyshinge L. | 160 |
| | 0 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Rosemary Sullivan 625 Wyndemere Ave.07450

Rosemary Sullivan

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (iii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| *************************************** | wood (in a public forum for | | Signature | 1/ |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| ame | Address Howard | | 111, | Alon1 |
| | -1 218N.1 | Maple Ase. | 11/W | DICE |
| MANAV | Hry 200 | V Rightway | UNI /U | 1 |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature
Lee Michieu 5 Betty Ct. Zee Miohler

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | 1/1 |
|---------|---------------|-----------|--------------|------|
| MARGAUX | Howard 218N.M | Right Aye | Signature My | Hell |
| | | g | (| viog |

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Gleanore C.

STHUHS HOWARD 218 North Maple Ave

Conver Hauds David

Prograved

Prograved

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

218 N Maph No.

Ridgewood, NJ 07450 Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 Independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Marcia Ringel 250 Ferris Pl., Ridgewood, marcia Ringel

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedier Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the wing concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Indrea Mishler 5 Bethy Gl andea I Musi

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature , , |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| MARK J. ISVK | 468 QUEENS COUTT- REDGENIOU NO 0745 | Signature MM/k |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Norka M. Schell - 520 West Saddle River Road, Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | -11.11-01 | Signature |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| GEPHAN LO | VA 68 | 5 KINGSAM | 1066 19 |
| 2/12/11/10 | 100 | LANE | 00 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Hyunhee Kin | 675 Kingsbridge In | Rohnki. |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

No. 52027, 10.8

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Cianatura

| Name | Address | Signature | | |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
| Teresa Isik | 408 Queens Ct. | Teresa Isik | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------|-----------|-----------|
| IVallie | , 1001000 | 0.9 |

All son Chostaka 399 Oversct aluna Chrital

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| | government and and | section and debute, for the general wenare of the village. | |
|---------|--------------------|--|---|
| Name | Address | Signature | |
| Jamen & | HUA 375 QU | eens C+ Ridgewaged NJ 07450 |) |
| Lauren | E. Ullo. | Lawren & Rillor | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | | | |
|------|----------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|--|
| KATH | F. BOURD | 45 KIN | 15 Deile Blance | Kally | Burn | |
| 7 | | | 3 | () | 1000 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Giob Bou - | Cur King Briles | En. |
| 1.00 -001 | 7 710 1191 13 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Address

Name

| 4 | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------|-----|--------|----|-----------|------|-------|----------|
| Mun | June | 349 | Purchi | 66 | Lidgewood | 1/1 | Mary. | 12 |
| | , | | | | 0 | 0.00 | , , | - Samuel |

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

MARK MILIAN

530 W GAODLE RIVER RD

The same

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | A | Address | Signature |
|----------|--------|---------------------|-----------|
| Kinstina | Milian | 530 W. Sazser Krand | Kin My m |
| | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

THE PERSON OF STREET

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature | |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| Mulile | Italia 3 Bitty | Court Mukle Italia | |
| / | 0 | 1 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Serry CT. Signature ALAN DLVGASCIT

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Leonid Houd Her 32 chelsea PL Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Cynthia Okefu 5/2 W. Suddle Cynthia Hafe

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

CANADA PROPERTY CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF T

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Dalprod | 542 W. SchleRIVER | Dr. Prad |
| David P. War | d 542-W-Schole RIVER | 0 00 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | | Signature | |
|--------|-----|-----------|------|-----------|---|
| Samuel | Sin | 704 Novad | Road | Vec | |
| | | 0 | 1450 | | _ |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address
Suratsawada Siu 704 Howard Rd Signature
Ridge wood, N.S.

Ridge wood, N.S.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Ellen McNamara 120 W Ridgewood Ave

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (iii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

532 West Saddle river Road

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Megian Dutt

53? W saddle river road

Magnitud

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Signature Address Name

ANDR DUTT Alpur

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Field Demand.</u> A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | Signature |
|--------|-----|-----------------------|------------|
| Evanka | Dut | 532 W Saddle Piner Rd | Sarau Dult |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Gabriella de Sila 521 W Saddle River Rd, Biogewood, NJ Jander Aillon

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

ROHAN DE STEVA 521 W. SADDLE PIVER BD

Ridgewood NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Rohan de Silva II 521, West Saddle River rd. Rohan de Silva II 521

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Frettra M. de Silva 521 W Saddle Riverld Ridgewood, NJ Frethath Le Silva

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Elisha de Silva 521 W. Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood NJ, 07450 En

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

MARYSE KARUNARATNE, 521 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgwood NJ.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Donald Henke 524 W. Saddle River Rd Ridgewood

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name-

Herke 524W Sadder Ridge Wood

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | ss Signature | | |
|---------------|---------|--------------|-----|--|
| Daga H. Glaza | 61 (1), | ton Are | 242 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

May Wang

690 spring ave Ridgewood NJ 07450 Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Yu Wang

690 spring avenue Ridgewood NJ 07450

of Och

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Alme Loving W342 S. Truing St auge & Loving

Ridge wood

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Signature

Kote Gondon

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

REWANN RODNEY 125 S Mople Ave Apt Preubon, Rocheef

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

LATHRAYN Konga Schmidt 123 South I pring freet fatty of hura Schwett Piogramons, N.T.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan requires
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turl field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Addres

Signature

FATMIR FANDA 116 WOODSIDE AVE, RIDGE KNOOD

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| ivanie | Address | | Signature | |
|--------|---------|-----|---------------|-----------|
| Joseph | Schmilt | 123 | S. Irving St. | Ridgewood |
| , | | | | - |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| residents of Ridgewood (i | n a public forum to | iscussion and debate) for the general wehate of the vinage |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Name | Address | Signature |
| Deborch Rakk | 61 Clines A | Reduces NJ 07450 Gell |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Manosprer

Address

3285 Irung Steel

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Dich Saphor 3285 Irvit Stroot Signatura

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Naomi Freshwater 200 walten St. Nacmi Inhuat

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field
 (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75
 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| residents of Ridge | swood (iii a public fordiff for disease | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Name | Address | Signature |
| -VM | KAI. 31541.00 | ow Ct. Hackenfillion |
| Jacklein | Kelling Sis Will | ou C. Southerfilles |
| / | 7 | 1 |
| | | |
| / | | / |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|------|---------|-----------|
| | | |

KEITH KILLION 315 WILLOWCT RIDGE WOOD N.J Heathfully

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

EIZBIETA JASTRZEBSKA ELVEL JeAubser 215 HALTONST,

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Vallage.

CHRISTORIER I 21 WATE ST PERFECT RIDGENOUS. M. 07416

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
 - turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | | | Signature | \tage |
|-------|------|---------------|------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Brian | Kwon | 528 W. Saddle | Rive | erRal | 1 | 26 cm |
| | | Ridgewood. | CN | 0745 | D | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Matthew Weise Slowy Tongate Rd Pipersville PA Zu

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

levy Hopton Jones 1800 Our Fren Coule historich TX Yeary Hopersone

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further narm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedier is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War arcfacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funcing
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature | - |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|------|
| Syndyse Alo | dim 116 Wordork | Are / Sentures | 42-6 |
| Panda | , H | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JACQUELINE HONE 30 CARRAGE Lane

Hone

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature M | 0 11/ |
|-------|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Nancy | Brennun- Will | 136 Doremus | Que Mans | Duntel |
| | | | | 7 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Address | Signature |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| 354 Grandoues arche | Carolie Scielza |
| | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

BRANDYNE LACKLAND 30 CARRIAGE Lane

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Young Tinkin 278 Clarement Rd. Young fin Cin

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| | | Signature | |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| Soon Im kim | 278 Claremont Rd. | Soonler | - |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name STENE KIM Address Signature

to you.

EMAIL: Fill and sign PDF using an e-signature or send a picture of your signed petition from your cell phone. Return the completed petition to schedlerfriends@gmail.com

FOR MORE INFORMATION

EMAIL schedlerfriends@gmail.com

CALL or TEXT 201-377-8556

IMPORTANT UPDATES

Sign up sending an EMAIL to schedlerfriends@gmail.com

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD

REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including

detailed explanation of the need for a fullsize field (outlining numbers of teams/

players, by age and current field use)
versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard
field is required before any changes
should be considered.

 Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address

Signature

611 Robert St

NO 07450

I love Wing Bikes. Use my referral link to get a discount now! http://wingbikes.refr.cc/

jamesfoytlin?t=tw

f LIKE AND FOLLOW

FOLLOW ON TWITTER

Copyright © 2023 the Ridgewood blog, All rights reserved.

Welcome to the Ridgewood blog wire service from time to time we email you important updates about the Village of Ridgewood, thank you PJ Blogger

Our mailing address is:

the Ridgewood blog PO Bax 227 Ridgewood, NJ 07451

Add us to your address book



and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.

- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological
 assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler
 property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended
 that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant
 ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan
 can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including
 design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override
 public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

3. ame Address Signature

H. Ackteury

344 Jefferson ST.

DIDGE 4000 9 2.4. 07450

MOTE: There should be No Changes to EXISTIN PUAN.

a No more money anotime wisters.

· WISH PETITION HAD STATED The Above.

> 6 ege VX. Ackum 2/21/23 20/- 444-6370

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Douguas Kuslay 725 Haware Rd Dan RP ...

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedier Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

John + Irene Rojao Signature

650 Kenwood Rd

Ridgewood NJ

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Niki V. Diamond, 2344 Perot Street, Philadlephia, PA 19130

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Nicole Nolan 987 Jones St Berekely CA 94710

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

GLEN T. RYEN, 655 KENNOOD RD, RIDGEWOOD, NT 07450 /2-17/2





https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/c14..

img1.wsimg.com

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL FOR THE VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD REGARDING SCHEDLER PARK PLAN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Alaina Laszewski

22101 93rd Pl W Edmonds, WA 98020

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JOHN MILIAN 33 VALLEY LN, U.SADOLE RIVER, NJ 07458

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Lisa Suriano 636 Kenwood Rd Du Jaso
Ridgewood, WJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

VASA NAHMAN 520 BANNINGTON LO

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Dorothy Barthold Meusty Barthald

323 Stevens Aue,

Ridgewood, NI

07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Dure Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 mever override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Rame Address Signature

Poler & Description 21 WINDOVER LANE DOYLESTOWN, PA 18901

ROBERT WEISE

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing matural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 memediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 mever override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Barbara J. Weise Dendines Lone, Doylestown, 14 18901

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Joseph DeMarco SZZ W. Saddle River Ld

Byl Din

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | | Signature | |
|------|----------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| | De Marco | 572 W. Saddle | River Rd. | Link marco |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address 540 Bennington Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schadler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Cristina Cobos-Dacruz 735 Haward Rd. W

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Address

Signature

LESUE SIEGEL ANDREW SIEGEL

35 Chelsea Place

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedier Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Matthey Moss, SIbw Saddle Rier Rd Walton Mar | Name // | Address | Signature/ /// |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Matthew Moss | SILW Saddle Pu | erel Multohlu |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Oga Rossi S16 W. Soddle Piver Rd Olgafeexon

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan: and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- · Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- · Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Susan M. Orlando 2 88 Glenwood Rd Redgewood, MJ 07450

2-27-23

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the Introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 vard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Viltage.

Name Address Signature

DOYUES TENN, PA 18901

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jenna Weise

9/ CHERRY LANE Daylestown, PA 18901

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- · Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

105 Blosson Hill Lane Chalfont, PA 18914

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature/

105 Blossom Hill lane

Chalfort PA 18914

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

WILLIAM F. LEAHY 250-S. VAN DIEN AVE. RIDGENOOD, N. J. 07450 William F. Leaky

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

ANN M. LEAHY 2505, VANDIEN AVE RIDGENOOD NO 67450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature ,

201 Dateway Rd Redgewood. NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Comm' Donald 207 Cateway Rd Budgewood n 1 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50
 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Robert A. Briedis

890 East Glen Ave., Ridge wood, NJ 07450
2/23/2023

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Joseph Luddy 527 west Saddle Riu rd Eleng Luddy

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

ROBERT GRINCH 221 KEMAH

Hell or sais

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature
Lynn Coronilas

Lynn Coronilas

395 Manchester Road

Lidgewood

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

James Coromiles 395 Manchester Road, Ridgewood 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Peter Enner 491 W. Saddle River R.D.

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Ildiko Enner 491 W. Saldle River RJ

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property. (ii)

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

MARTIN GRUBER 229 S. TAYING Marter & Grub

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property. (ii)

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Geor Chil

ELEANOR GRUBER 229 S. INVING

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name Virendra | Address 501 W | Saddle River Road | Signature |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | Ridge | 2004 | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

VAIDEHI Vyas Vaidehi Vyas
501 W. Saddle River Rd.

Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-----------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Claudia Alloceo | 724 1 | ward Rd. Ridgewood Claudia alloco |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Suphi Cohan

388 Queens Ct. Suphi Cohan

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-235) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a furfifield and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm, park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and
 buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District: A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary Villar artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed it significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field. (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

JAYESH JESALPURA 30 RED ROCK CT., RIDGEWOOD, NT

3/1/2023

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(ii) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(iii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will grore the following concerns:

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents: Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a furfilled and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic, and
 buses. The onor traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedier is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedier (unlike many current fields) is the foraging, grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Baid Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District: A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field. (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

TLA JESALPURA 30 RED ROCK CT, RIDGEWOOD, NT

3/1/2023

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and
 buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District: A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

James A. Bombace, 686 Midwood Road Ridgewood, NJ 07450

James A. Bombace

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Yaurung Hallmany Yasmine Elashmany 640 Kenwood Road Ridgewood

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Joseph Calaceto 645 Terhune Rd Ridgewood NJ

Joseph Calaceto

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 vard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lavinia Calaceto 645 Terhube Rd Ridgewood NJ

Lavinia Calaceto

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Robert Calaceto 645 Terhune Rd Ridgewood NJ

Robert Calaceto

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jil OFans
3/1/2023

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Linka & Mike Ferraro 657 Howard Rd, Ridgewood

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

LINDAL. MARTICER SHE ROUTE IT M. For D. J. Mantheide.

AKA SIZWIS ADDLE RIVER RO.

RIOGEWOOD, N.J. 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| scre |
|------|
| |
| |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature | |
|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
| Don't AMM | In CAPPIACE IN | NZ | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of

New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | 24 | Signature\ / | |
|-----------|---------|----------|--------------|---|
| Lauren Sa | luani | Carriage | | - |
| | | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Addi | ess | Signatur | e |
|-------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| Thony | 8 486 | 36 CAN | XIRSE (ANDEX | Almost Sa |
| | | | | / |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Avita 56 avagen - Signature Lee

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| residents of Ridgewood | (iii a public loro | in for discussion | n and debate) for the general | welfare of the Village. |
|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name | Address | 6-16 | Kenvoo Signature | - 1/1/1/1 |
| ~ · · · · · · | | Rdo | revoced M | |
| tert | 1 / 100 | de | 104450 | |
| | 1 | | | 0 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signatur | re DD _ |
|---------|------------------|---------------|---------|
| Pradeep | Bala subramaniam | 626 Kenwoodrd | d.K |
| | | Ridge wood NJ | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | ignature |
|--------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| Sangutha Pro | ideep be | b kenpood vd | CARL |
| U | 1 | Ridgewood, NJ | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | |
|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|--|
| Parina SI | 7ah 635 | Kenwood Rd | Parishal | |
| | | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a
 turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known
 health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm
 park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Victoria Marticek 512 W. Saddle River Rd. gw Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Vincent H. Mart' cel Tr. 512 W. Saddle River Rd. Vincent Mart cel Tr.

Ridgewood, NJ., 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety.</u> Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

MUMBER JEMNIFERT Druathan Sherman 50 8 W. Saddle River Road Ridsewod. Ht 67450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Mukti Dharia, 471 W Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood, NJ Mukti Sharia

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Pradip Dharia, 471 W Saddle River Rd, Ridgewood, NJ Pradip Sharia

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Eric Friedman | 526 W. Saddle Kine Rd | 50 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|----------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Nancy tr | iedman 526 V | J. Saddle River Rd. Man L |
| 1 | | *** |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

530 Bunighton Terr Sun Mi Colora Kyc

Ridgewood, No

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Undleb Mahmood Undlei Molusod 5/1/23

520 Bennington Terrace
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature 525 Benmington Ter. Zhihony Wany Ridge wood Notare

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

525 Bennington (

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- * Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation, Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ridgewood NS

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- · Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ken Fire 560 Bennington Terrace Kidgewood

Kan Gina

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Genny Fine 560 fennington Terr. Ridgewood N.J. 07450 Penny Fine

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- · Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Maida butkin

580 Bennison Terrace Ridgerood, NJ, 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| P Makkerian | AN Broad 47 | Paul MARKONOM |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | ame Address | | | Signature | | |
|------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Gary | Lowenthal | 463 | wastera Ter. | Mary | Church Co | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property. (ii)

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

workste 446 hoper blod.

Signature

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | | Address | | | Signature | 1/0/ |
|---------|------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Stephen | Katz | 446 | Opper Blud. | Rylogwood | 6 | 1/1/2 |
| 1 | , | | 1/ | | 9 | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

| Name Address Signature | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----|---------------|
| Name Address Signature July Touyun | 11.51 (200) | 576 | Hallon Olene |
| NULL JOUGHEN | LIMB I ATTUAN | 3/6 | 1/1 great con |
| | | | 7 |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Victoria Marticek 512 W. Sable River Rd. Que
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (i)

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (ii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Vincent H. Marticek Tr. 512 W. Saddle River Rd. Ridgewood, NJ, 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Abulli:

580 Bennington Terrace Ribarray, NJ 07450

5/1/2023

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | Signature | |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Lauren Lynch | 150 snakedenrd, Ringwood, NJ | Lauren | Lines |
| | 07456 | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name
Address

Fugene T. Koret 645 Almon Rd ESHore (

PTKhoper envel Ridgewood WI

971 X Com

PMX Com

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

PERENA PIPARO

1 St FL UNIT

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

28 LEROY PLACE

1St FL

JOSEPH PIPERO

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District, A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 vard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Daie Baventa Dane Paventa M Van Samm Pl Fair-Lawn, no 071410

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Lose Calderós/239 S. Brosost. / Jose calderon

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature
List Glidewell Pia C. John

2 dd S. Broad So
Ridsewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

222 5 Stond St Kedgewood

Betty THOMPSON

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

11 Dansfield Ct. Syriam Lenethi Upper Saddle River N.J. 27458

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

HENRY THAYER 209 S BROADST, RIDGEWOOD

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

NIKOLAS BLAUVELT 28 LEROY PL hiteotor & facultet Rioce Coop, N.J.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Deedee Buruside 3 Chaket Deedee Buruside

Address

Deedee Buruside

O7481

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Hamseh Abdallah 369 Queons Ct, Ridgewood NT 07950

Jung de

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Address | | Signature | 1 1 |
|----------|---------|----------|-----------|------|
| Mapin | CERTSUL | 325 Duen | Cf _ | / /- |
| 11100100 | (049212 | 01 | | |
| | | Colle | 200 | , |
| | | 9 | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

pers

Name Address Signature

Yelda Coban 388 Queens C+.

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

| Name | Name Address | | | Signature | | |
|----------|--------------|-----|--------|-----------|---|--|
| MUNICA (| Caldoza | 121 | Sunset | Avenu | a | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

| Name Address | | iress | Signature | | |
|--------------|-------|----------------|-----------|-----|--|
| Listte | Ramos | 357 61 bet St. | Luette R | enn | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- · Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 vard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Senny Fine 560 fennington Terr. Ridgewood N.g. 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Ken Fine 560 Bennington Terrace Kidgewood
Ken Fine

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Jake Git Kin 580 Bennington Terrace, lidgewood, NJ, 07450 Jakets

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- * Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

5/1/23 MIKe Zuckerman 550 Bennington Terrace, Ridgeward

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Zidgenoud NJ 0745

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Debra A Cuckerma 550 Bennington Terr Ridgewood, NJ 07450 5/1/23

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Zhihory Wany 525 Benmington Ter.
Ridgewood NJO7450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Yorg Shu

Ridgewood NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Undleeb Mahmood Undles Moluson 5/1/23
520 Bennington Terrace
Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact</u>. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

530 Bunighton Torr Sunth Roloia Kye
Pablo Kye Gu

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan

(approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New

Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

530 Bunighton Terr Sunth Colora Lyc

Pablo Lye Cur

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Maida Gutkin

580 Benningen Terrace, Ridgerood, NJ, 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Rdu

Address

368 Duceus CA

Signature

MARY CATHLEEN ROBINS

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

John Robbins

368 Queens Gurt; Ridgewood, NS 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

THE SECOND STATE SECOND SECOND

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

615 Knysbrudge La

Signature

Paul ShiN

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from <u>any</u> changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Gillian Folsy 432 Sterling Place, Ridgewood

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- · Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

SAURARY DANS 20, REDGAD RO, RIDLETWOOD NUT, OTUGO James Don'

Delayers of the second second

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Hayley Weber 235 South Irving Street Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Michael Portanova 843 East Saddle River Road, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ Midus Portuge

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the reliable.

Name Andress Signature

Natalia Nehrino M.D. 174 Union St Apt. 2A

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

635 Kingsh

Ridgowood VJ

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Signature Name

626 KINGSBRIDGE LN RINKO

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 (i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
 (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health
 impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
 visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Tichael Khouny

635 Kingshidge La Ridgavand MJ

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Paul Shin Ridgerord, NJ
Paul Shin

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | | Address | Signature |
|-------|-----|----------------|-----------|
| Goeun | Lee | 329 Queens Ct. | \$W |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

 a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- <u>Accurate Assessment of Field Demand</u>, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | 500k | Address | | | | Signature | 10 | |
|------|---------|---------|-----|--------|----|-----------|-----|---|
| m | oon Kol | 14 | 358 | queens | ct | | 11/ | 7 |
| | | | | / | | | | |

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

368 avens Gurt; Ridgewood, NJ 07450

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled, Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

0 1 (47) E51 2.001C

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- <u>Clear and transparent budget for new plans</u>. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Maring Frish 385 Quees Cf

Refer 904

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- <u>Preservation of Historic District</u>. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Yelda Coban 388 Queens Ct. Mour

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging
 grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned NightHerons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
 imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name

Address

Signature

Hamzeh Amdallah 369 Queens Ct, Ridgewood NT 07450

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
- Health Implications to Children and Residents, Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf
 health Implications to Children and Residents, Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining) numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the

Name Address Signature

10, 560 Route 17 North Ridge wood,

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and (ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a
 comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and
 buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds
 for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons)
 and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the
 Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and
 recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground
 disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

Niti Mistry Windly

416 Colwell Ct. Ridgewood, NJ 074,50

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

 a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- <u>Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled.</u> Changes to the approved plan require
 a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other
 Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter
 experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic
 and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is
 immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into
 consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the
 introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the
 Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding
 should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Lisate Ramos 357 Glbert St. Liette Reman Ridgewood, Not

We, the undersigned residents of Ridgewood, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and

a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of (iii) New Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- Traffic patterns that will impact safety. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night-Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand, A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

| Name | Address | Signature | | | | |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| MUNICA | CARdora 121 S | ounset Averu | | | | |
| | | EWOOD, NT 07450 | | | | |

We, the undersigned, petition the Village Council not to reopen the Schedler Park plan (approved by Resolution 18-236) without:

(i) a full assessment of the impact that would result from any changes to the plan; and
(ii) a fact-based analysis of the comprehensive impact on the Village and the State of New
Jersey made by the development of the Schedler property.

Any changes without a full assessment will ignore the following concerns.

- Due Process to which Residents of Ridgewood are Entitled. Changes to the approved plan require a comprehensive review process, transparent to all Village residents and consistent with other Village decisions (like the current Gold Star Memorial project), utilizing applicable subject-matter experts and independent studies.
- Health Implications to Children and Residents. Changes to the plan (including the introduction of a turf field and changes to the existing natural and mature tree barrier) do not consider the known health impact on children. Toxic pollutants from traffic, as well as noise pollution, will further harm park visitors and residents.
- <u>Traffic patterns that will impact safety</u>. Expansion of the field size will introduce increased traffic and buses. The prior traffic study was conducted in 2015 without considering buses. As Schedler is immediately adjacent to an exit from Route 17N, new studies must be conducted taking into consideration the safety of park visitors and residents with the change in traffic patterns and the introduction of buses.
- Wildlife and environmental preservation. Schedler (unlike many current fields) is the foraging grounds for NJ endangered species (Bald Eagles) and threatened species (Black-Crowned Night Herons) and houses protected vegetation. A full assessment of the environmental impact is imperative.
- Accurate Assessment of Flooding Impact. A change in the approved plan expanding the field size
 should only be made as a result of new, public, flood studies and an assessment of an effective
 strategy (based on a full Village property assessment, taking into consideration County and State
 remediation discussions) to address flooding concerns.
- Preservation of Historic District. A 2019 independent archeological assessment commissioned by the Village found that the Historic Schedler property likely houses Revolutionary War artifacts and recommended that an archaeological survey of the property be completed if significant ground disturbance is planned.
- Accurate Assessment of Field Demand. A detailed explanation of the need for a full-size field (outlining numbers of teams/players, by age and current field use) versus the previously agreed 75 x 50 yard field is required before any changes should be considered.
- Clear and transparent budget for new plans. Before changes to the plan can be approved, the Council
 must present an itemized budget including design and maintenance fees. Private funding should
 never override public input and public safety.

These issues and others must be reviewed, and a detailed, fact-based plan should be presented to the residents of Ridgewood (in a public forum for discussion and debate) for the general welfare of the Village.

Name Address Signature

HENRY THAYER 209 5 BROADST, RIDGEWOOD